



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/792,364	03/03/2004	Shmuel Shaffer	062891.1234	4261		
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 12/27/2006 CS J. J. P		EXAM	INER		
2001 ROSS AV			HONG, H	ARRY S		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2614			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	NTHS	12/27/2006	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No). ·	Applicant(s)			
	10/792,364		SHAFFER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Harry S. Hong		2614	_		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence add	tress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS C .136(a). In no event, how I will apply and will expirate, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under A 	is action is non-fi	ormal matters, pro		merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10,13-38,40-52 and 54-58 is/are re 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 11,12,39 and 53 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from conside					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted of e drawing(s) be hel ction is required if t	d in abeyance. See he drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) - 5) 6)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/792,364

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-20, 22-34, 36-38, 40-44, 46-52, and 54-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Griffith et al. (Griffith; US 6,272,347 B1; cited and applied for the first time).

The entire patent to Griffith is directed to the claimed method and system for automatic call distribution based on location information for call center agents in conjunction with the skill level of the agents and the location of the resources. The limitations of claims 3 and 32 are plainly taught at column 3, lines 20 – 43. The claimed GPS and RFID are taught at column 5, lines 11 – 26. The limitations of claims 9, 16, 37, 40, 52, 54, and 58 are taught at column 3, lines 37 and 38; and lines 58 - 63. The limitations of claims 23, 24, 47, 48, and 56 are taught at column 3, line 64 – column 4, line 5. The claimed limitations regarding reports read on step 809.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,364 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 6, 21, 35, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffith as applied above.

IP telephony is ubiquitous in the present state of the art. Thus, lacking criticality, communication devices comprising IP telephones would only have been a matter of design choice since Griffith already teaches communication devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,364

Art Unit: 2614

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11, 12, 39, and 53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dezonno et al. (US 6,816,733 B1) teach tracking agent call processing locations in connection with an ACD system. Mani (US 7,031,447 B2) teaches a system and method for location-based call distribution.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7485. The examiner is normally off on Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,364

Art Unit: 2614

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Harry S. Hong

Harry S. Hong Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2614

December 14, 2006